1 2	KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP Andrew W. Homer (State Bar No. 259852) ahomer@kelleydrye.com	
3	Tahir L. Boykins (State Bar No. 323441) tboykins@kelleydrye.com	
	1800 Century Park East Suite 600	
5	Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 712-6100 Facsimile: (310) 712-6199	
6	KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP Michael J. Zinna (pro hac vice)	
7	mzinna@kelleydrye.com Whitney M. Smith (pro hac vice)	
8	wsmith@kelleydrye.com	
9	Kerianne Losier (pro hac vice) klosier@kelleydrye.com	
10	Stephanie A. Grob ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) sgrob@kelleydrye.com	
11	101 Park Avenue New York, New York 10178	
12	Telephone: (212) 808-7800 Facsimile: (212) 808-7897	
13	Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs  Marc Jacobs International J.I.C.	
14	Marc Jacobs International, LLC, Saks Incorporated, d/b/a Saks Fifth Avenu	e, and
15	Neiman Marcus Group Limited, LLC	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
۱7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	NIRVANA, L.L.C.,	Case No.: 2:18-cv-10743-JAK-SK
19	Plaintiff,	
20	V.	APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION TO STAY
21	MARC JACOBS INTERNATIONAL L.L.C., et al.,	TILE MOTION TO STAT
22		Honorable John A. Kronstadt
23	Defendants.  MARC JACOBS INTERNATIONAL	H : D : I 7 2021
24	L.L.C., et al.,	Hearing Date: June 7, 2021 Hearing Time: 8:30 a.m. Courtroom: 10B
25	Counterclaim Plaintiffs,	Courtroom: 10B
26	V.	
27	NIRVANA, L.L.C.,	
28	Counterclaim Defendant.	

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Please take notice that on June 7, 2021 at 8:30 a.m., in the courtroom of the Honorable John A. Kronstadt, located at 350 W 1<sup>st</sup> Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012, Courtroom 10B, Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs Marc Jacobs International, LLC and Saks Incorporated, d/b/a Saks Fifth Avenue ("Saks") (together, "Defendants" unless otherwise specifically designated)<sup>1</sup> will and hereby apply for leave to file a motion to stay (the "Proposed Motion") after the deadline to file all motions (the "Application").

The deadline to file all motions expired on November 2, 2020. ECF 102. On November 11, 2020, Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Nirvana LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Nirvana") filed *Nirvana LLC v. Robert Fisher*, 2:20-cv-10324 (the "Fisher Case"), in this Court for declaratory judgment that Plaintiff owns the '166 Registration and that Proposed Plaintiff-In-Intervention Mr. Robert Fisher ("Fisher" or "Plaintiff-In-Intervention") does not own a valid copyright in the Disputed Smiley, among other relief. Plaintiff filed a notice of related case in the Fisher Case stating, "[b]oth this case and the related case call for a determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law and fact relating to copyright ownership of that work registered for copyright as the 'Happy Face' t-shirt design, Registration No. VA0000564166, that is presently at issue in *Nirvana L.L.C. v. Mark Jacobs International L.L.C.; Saks Incorporated, d/b/a Saks Fifth Avenue; Neiman Marcus* 

¹ On May 7, 2020, Neiman Marcus Group LTD LLC ("NMG") and its debtor affiliates filed petitions for chapter 11 bankruptcy, which initiated the bankruptcy cases captioned In re Neiman Marcus Group LTD LLC, et al., Case No. 20-32519 (DRJ) (Bankr. S.D. Tex.) Nirvana LLC was scheduled on the Reorganized Debtors' Schedules as a contingent and unliquidated claim. Nirvana LLC did not file a proof of claim. Article I.A.32 of the bankruptcy plan states that "[a]ny claim that has been . . . listed in the Schedules as contingent, unliquidated, or disputed, and for which no proof of claim is or has been timely filed . . . shall be expunged without further action by the Debtors and without further notice to any party or action, approval, or order." Thus, Nirvana LLC's claims against NMG were expunged as of Sept. 25, 2020, and Nirvana LLC is barred from asserting its claim against those entities.

Group Limited, L.L.C., et al., U.S.D.C., Central District of California Case No. 2:18-cv-10743-JAK-SK, and therefore, are likely to entail substantial duplication of labor for the judges assigned each respective case." Fisher Case, ECF 5.

As explained in the Proposed Motion, Defendants will likely be prejudiced if this Action moves closer to trial prior to resolution of the Fisher Case. The need for this stay of proceedings arose after the deadline to file all motions had passed, the failure to file prior to the deadline was by no fault of Defendants, and the request is made in good faith. Leave to file the Proposed Motion is essential for Defendants' motion to be properly considered by the Court and to preserve Defendants' rights. For the reasons stated above and because it will serve the interests of justice for Defendants' motion to be presented to the Court with its supporting papers, good cause exists for leave to file the motion to stay.

This Application for leave to file the Proposed Motion is based upon this Application, and the concurrently filed Declaration of Michael J. Zinna ("Zinna Decl."), Notice of Proposed Motion and Proposed Motion to Stay, Proposed Order granting this Application, Proposed Order granting Motion to Stay, and upon such other and further matters as may be presented at any hearing on this motion. This Application is made pursuant to Local Rule 7-3. Counsel met and conferred on February 5, 2021. Plaintiff informed Defendants it opposes the Application. Zinna Decl. ¶ 4.

In light of the forgoing, Defendants respectfully request that their present Application for leave to file the Proposed Motion to stay past the motion deadline be granted.